

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,928	06/29/2006	David James Squirrell	41577326422	9314
JOHN S. PRA	7590 05/15/2009 TT ESO	9	EXAMINER BHAT, NARAYAN KAMESHWAR	
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP			
1100 PEACHTREE STREET SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, G	A 30309		1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,928	SQUIRRELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NARAYAN K. BHAT	1634	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, whici places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time	the
periods:	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension if have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (; set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely if may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee 2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date	o of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), a world dismissal of the appeal. Sil Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
<ol> <li>\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \</li></ol>	r
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	
7. ∑ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∑ will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation o how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 28-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-24.	f
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary awas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. Seg 37 CFR 41.33(d/1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_

Narayan K. Bhat Examiner. Art Unit 1634

/BJ Forman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 Continuation of 3. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because Applicant has amended claims 1, 25, 36 and 40 which introduce numerous elements and/or phrases to further define the invention. For example, the amendments ruther defines the first functional component for moving the sample, analyte or reagent from one chamber "on the platform" to another "chamber on the platform and "functional component may be held on the platform such that it can be moved and replaced onto the platform." These elements have not previously been considered. Therefore, the amendments to would require further search and considerations for at least the newly defined functional component features. Therefore, amendments to the claims will not be entered.

Applicant's arguments have been reviewed. However, the arguments address the claims as amended. These arguments are deemed moot relative to the outstanding rejections.